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## 1. PROBLEM SETTLEMENT

The purpose of this paper is to study an inverse problem in relation with a nonlinear parabolic model with application to water infiltration in soils. Namely, we want to determine the initial condition from the available data observed for the solution (soil moisture) in a subset of the flow domain.

The mathematical model we consider is that corresponding to the nonlinear saturated-unsaturated infiltration in a porous medium (see [8]), i.e.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}-\Delta \beta^{*}(\theta)+\nabla \cdot K(\theta) \ni f \text { in } Q \\
\theta(0, x)=u(x) \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1}\\
\left(K(\theta)-\nabla \beta^{*}(\theta)\right) \cdot \nu-\alpha \beta^{*}(\theta) \ni 0 \text { on } \Sigma:=(0, T) \times \Gamma
\end{gather*}
$$

which is called the original state system. The domain $Q=(0, T) \times \Omega$, with $\Omega$ an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N=1,2,3$.

The function $\beta^{*}$ is defined as

$$
\beta^{*}(\theta):= \begin{cases}\int_{0}^{\theta} \beta(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta, & \theta<\theta_{s} \\ {\left[K_{s}^{*}, \infty\right),} & \theta=\theta_{s}\end{cases}
$$

where $\beta$ has the following properties

$$
\lim _{\theta \nearrow \theta_{s}} \beta(\theta)=\infty, \quad \lim _{\theta \nearrow \theta_{s}} \int_{0}^{\theta} \beta(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta=K_{s}^{*}<\infty
$$

Here $\theta$ is the soil moisture, $\beta$ represents the diffusion coefficient, $K$ the water conductivity and $\theta_{s}$ the saturation value.

The original state system can be written under the abstract form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t)+A \theta(t)=f(t), \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T)  \tag{2}\\
\theta(0)=u
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A: D(A) \subset V^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is the multivalued operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A \theta, \psi\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}=\int_{\Omega}(\nabla \eta-K(\theta)) \cdot \nabla \psi \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Gamma} \alpha \eta \psi \mathrm{d} \sigma \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\psi \in V$, where $\eta(x) \in \beta^{*}(\theta(x))$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and the domain operator is defined by
$D(A)=\left\{\theta \in L^{2}(\Omega) ;\right.$ there exists $\eta \in V, \eta(x) \in \beta^{*}(\theta(x))$ a.e. $\left.x \in \Omega\right\}$.
The existence and uniqueness of the solution to problem (2) was proved in [3].

We consider a given set of observations for $\theta(t, x)$ denoted by $\theta^{o b s}(t, x)$, on the subset $Q_{0}=(0, T) \times \Omega_{0} \subseteq Q$ (where $\left.\Omega_{0} \subseteq \Omega\right)$, $\theta^{o b s} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)$.

The problem of the initial data identification is expressed in mathematical terms as the cost functional minimization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{u \in U} J(u) \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

for

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega_{0}} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\theta(t, x)-\theta^{o b s}(t, x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

subject to (1) where the admissible set $U$ consists of

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\left\{u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) ; 0 \leq u \leq \theta_{s}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weight $\mu$ is used for a greater influence of one of the terms in the functional cost (e.g., $\mu>1$ implies that the control term has a higher importance).

The steps we follow are the setting of the cost functional, proof of the existence of an optimal pair and determination of the optimality conditions for the approximating problem.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$. Then $(P)$ has at least one solution.
Proof. We see that $J(u) \geq 0$ for any $u \in U$. Therefore, there exists $d=\inf _{u \in U} J(u) \geq 0$. We take a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset U$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \leq J\left(u_{n}\right) \leq d+\frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above relationship gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \leq \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{n}(t, x)-\theta^{o b s}(t, x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq d+\frac{1}{n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{n}$ is the solution to the Cauchy problem (2) corresponding to $u_{n}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t)+A \theta_{n}(t)=f(t), \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{8}\\
\theta_{n}(0)=u_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

As proved in [8], system (8) admits an unique solution $\theta_{n} \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$ $\cap L^{2}(0, T ; V), 0 \leq \theta_{n} \leq \theta_{s}$ which satisfies the estimate
(9) $\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\theta_{n}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} j\left(\theta_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\eta_{n}(t)\right\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t)\right\|_{V^{\prime}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leq C$,
where $\eta_{n} \in \beta^{*}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ a.e. on $Q$.
Therefore, we can extract a subsequence still denoted $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta^{*} \text { weakly in } W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V),  \tag{10}\\
& \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta^{*}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

These two weakly convergences lead us to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta^{*} \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(\theta_{n}\right) & \rightarrow K\left(\theta^{*}\right) \text { strongly in } L^{2}(0, T ; V)  \tag{13}\\
\eta_{n} & \rightarrow \eta^{*} \text { weakly in } L^{2}(0, T ; V) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta_{n} \in \beta^{*}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ a.e. on $Q$ and $\eta^{*} \in \beta^{*}\left(\theta^{*}\right)$ a.e. on $Q$ (see [5]).
Since $u_{n} \in U$, then $0 \leq u_{n} \leq \theta_{s}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u^{*} \text { weak-star in } L^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (9) we prove that the sequence $\left\{\theta_{n}(t)\right\}_{n>1}$ is equicontinuous. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and consider that $\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ exists such that $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|<\delta(\varepsilon)$, for any $0 \leq t^{\prime}<t \leq T$. We compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\theta_{n}(t)-\theta_{n}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{V^{\prime}} & =\left\|\int_{t^{\prime}}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right\|_{V^{\prime}} \leq \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}(\tau)\right\|_{V^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} \tau  \tag{16}\\
& \leq\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)}<\varepsilon \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\delta(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C}$.
By (9) we also get that $\left\|\theta_{n}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C, \forall t \in[0, T]$.

Since $L^{2}(\Omega)$ is compact in $V^{\prime}$, it follows that the sequence $\left\{\theta_{n}(t)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is compact in $V^{\prime}$, for each $t \in[0, T]$. We apply Ascoli-Arzelà theorem and obtain the convergence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n}(t) \rightarrow \theta(t) \text { strongly in } V^{\prime}, \forall t \in[0, T] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, this relation is also true for $t=0$, i.e., $\theta_{n}(0) \rightarrow \theta(0)$ strongly in $V^{\prime}$.
Relation (15) implies $\theta_{n}(0)=u_{n} \rightarrow u^{*}$ weakly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$.
The uniqueness of the limit yields to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(0)=u^{*} \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we pass to show that $\theta^{*}$ is a solution to problem (2) for $u$ replaced by $u^{*}$. This result is obtained by passing to the limit in the following equivalent form of (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}\left(\frac{\partial \theta_{n}}{\partial t} \phi+\nabla \eta_{n} \cdot \nabla \phi-K\left(\theta_{n}\right) \nabla \phi\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t= \\
= & \int_{Q} f \phi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \eta_{n} \phi \mathrm{~d} \sigma \mathrm{~d} t, \quad \forall \phi \in L^{2}(0, T ; V)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left(\frac{\partial \theta^{*}}{\partial t} \phi+\nabla \eta^{*} \cdot \nabla \phi-K\left(\theta^{*}\right) \nabla \phi\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t=  \tag{20}\\
= & \int_{Q} f \phi \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \eta^{*} \phi \mathrm{~d} \sigma \mathrm{~d} t, \quad \forall \phi \in L^{2}(0, T ; V),
\end{align*}
$$

i.e., $\theta^{*}$ is the solution to (2) for $u=u^{*}$.

We pass to the limit in (7) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, using the weakly lower semicontinuity, and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \leq \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{*}(t, x)-\theta^{o b s}(t, x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq d \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $J\left(u^{*}\right)=d$, which means that the pair $\left(u^{*}, \theta^{*}\right)$ realizes the minimum of the cost functional $J$.

## 2. THE APPROXIMATING CONTROL PROBLEM

Now we introduce the following approximating identification problem

$$
\min _{u \in U} J_{\varepsilon}(u)
$$

for

$$
J_{\varepsilon}(u)=\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta(t, x)-\theta^{o b s}(t, x)\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

subject to the approximating system

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}-\Delta \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}(\theta)+\nabla \cdot K(\theta)=f \text { in } Q, \\
\theta(0, x)=\omega_{\varepsilon}(x) \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{22}\\
\left(K(\theta)-\nabla \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}(\theta)\right) \cdot \nu-\alpha \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}(\theta)=0 \text { on } \Sigma,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}$ is a smooth function (e.g., in $C^{3}(\mathbb{R})$ ) which approximates $\beta^{*}$ and $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ is a sequence which approximates $u$. In particular, it can be constructed using a mollifier $\rho_{\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\varepsilon}(x):=u(x) * \rho_{\varepsilon}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u(x) \rho_{\varepsilon}(x-s) \mathrm{d} s . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that $\omega_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\omega_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ (see [6]). Consequently, we introduce the associated Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\mathrm{d} t}(t)+A_{\varepsilon} \theta_{\varepsilon}(t)=f(t), \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{24}\\
\theta_{\varepsilon}(0)=u,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $A_{\varepsilon}: D(A) \subset V^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is the single-valued operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle A_{\varepsilon} \theta_{\varepsilon}, \psi\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}=\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)-K\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla \psi \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Gamma} \alpha \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \psi \mathrm{d} \sigma, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\psi \in V$ and the domain operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(A_{\varepsilon}\right)=\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}(\Omega) ; \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \in V\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 (existence theorem, see [5]). Let $f \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and $u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$. Then, for each $\varepsilon>0$, problem (24) has a unique solution

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{27}\\
& \beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \in W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

which satisfies the estimates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (29) }\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{W^{1,2}(0, T ; V)}+\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C,  \tag{29}\\
& \text { (30) }\left\|\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{W^{1, \infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{W^{1,2}(0, T ; V)}+\left\|\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \leq C .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3. Let $f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)$. Then problem $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has at least one solution $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)$.

The proof is identical to the one of Theorem 1, just that $\beta^{*}$ is replaced by the smoother function $\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}$.

Lemma 4. Let $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ be a solution to (24) corresponding to $\omega_{\varepsilon}=u * \rho_{\varepsilon}$, $u \in U$. Then,

$$
\omega_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } L^{2}(\Omega) \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

and there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta \text { weakly in } W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V), \text { strongly in } L^{2}(Q) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\theta$ is the solution to system (2) corresponding to $u$.
Proof. We recall that a solution to (24) is a solution in the generalized sense to (22). Since $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the function defined by $(23)$ and $\omega_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, it follows that the approximating problem has a unique strong solution denoted $\theta_{\varepsilon}$. Then, the proof of (31) follows like in [7].

Theorem 5. Let $f \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and the pair $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right)$ be a solution to the approximating problem $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u^{*} \text { weak-star in } L^{\infty}(\Omega)  \tag{32}\\
\theta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta \text { weakly in } W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V), \tag{33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u^{*} \in U$ and $\theta^{*}$ is the solution to the original problem (1) for $u=u^{*}$. Moreover, $u^{*}$ is a solution to $(P)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)=P \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u^{*} \in U$ be a solution to $(P)$ and $\theta^{\varepsilon}$ a solution to the approximating problem (22) for $u=u^{*}$. By the optimality pair $\left(u_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$, we have

$$
\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega} u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{\varepsilon}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

As $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 4 we have that $\theta^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta^{*}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)$, where $\theta^{*}$ is the solution to system (2) for $u=u^{*}$. Hence

$$
\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)} \rightarrow\left\|\theta-\theta^{o b s}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)}
$$

For this reason, we have the following inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim \sup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \leq  \tag{35}\\
\leq \lim \sup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{\varepsilon}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \leq \\
\leq \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right\}_{\varepsilon \geq 1} \subset U$, there exists a subsequence denoted the same way such that

$$
u_{\varepsilon}^{*} \rightarrow u^{*} \text { weak-star in } L^{\infty}(\Omega)
$$

As shown before, on a subsequence we have that $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \rightarrow \theta^{*}$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ and weakly in $W^{1,2}(0, T ; V) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T, V^{\prime}\right)$, where $\theta^{*}$ is the solution to (2) for $u=u^{*}$. This yields to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leq  \tag{36}\\
\leq \lim \inf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \leq \\
\leq \lim \sup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \leq \\
\leq \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (35) and (36) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x\right]= \\
= & \int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{*}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\min (P)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

## 3. NECESSARY CONDITIONS OF OPTIMALITY FOR THE APPROXIMATING PROBLEM

The next intermediate step is to determine the necessary conditions of optimality for the problem $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$ subject to (22). The approximating optimality conditions are required for the numerical computations, because we cannot work with the multivalued function $\beta^{*}$ and we use the single-valued $\beta_{\varepsilon}^{*}$.

We assume that $\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)$ is an optimal pair for $\left(P_{\varepsilon}\right)$. We introduce the variation of $u_{\varepsilon}^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}=u_{\varepsilon}^{*}+\lambda v_{\varepsilon} \text { for } v_{\varepsilon}=w-u_{\varepsilon}^{*}, \forall w \in U, \lambda>0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $Y_{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}-\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}}{\lambda}$.

Having introduced these notations, the system in variation reads

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial Y_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}-\Delta\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) Y_{\varepsilon}\right)+\nabla \cdot\left(K^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) Y_{\varepsilon}\right)=0 & \text { in } Q \\
Y_{\varepsilon}(0, x)=\omega_{\varepsilon}^{v a r}(x):=v_{\varepsilon}(x) * \rho_{\varepsilon}(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{38}\\
\left(K^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) Y_{\varepsilon}-\nabla\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) Y_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \cdot \nu-\alpha \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) Y_{\varepsilon}=0 & \text { on } \Sigma
\end{array}
$$

Now we shall give an existence and uniqueness result for the solution to the system in variations.

Proposition 6. Assume that $f \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then system (38) has, for each $\varepsilon>0$, a unique solution

$$
Y_{\varepsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} Y_{\varepsilon}}{\mathrm{d} t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof. We introduce the linear operator $A_{Y, \varepsilon}(t): V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 9) }\left\langle A_{Y, \varepsilon}(t) \phi, \psi\right\rangle_{V^{\prime}, V}=  \tag{39}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\nabla\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \phi\right) \cdot \nabla \psi-K^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \phi \nabla \psi\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Sigma} \alpha \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \phi \psi \mathrm{d} \sigma, \quad \forall \psi \in V
\end{align*}
$$

and write the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} Y_{\varepsilon}}{\mathrm{d} t}(t)+A_{Y, \varepsilon}(t) Y_{\varepsilon}(t)=0 \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T),  \tag{40}\\
Y_{\varepsilon}(0)=v_{\varepsilon} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of Proposition 6 is based on Lions' theorem (see [2]) and follows the same steps of Proposition 3.8 in [7].

We write the dual system as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \Delta p_{\varepsilon}+K^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \nabla p_{\varepsilon}=-\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right) \chi_{\Omega_{0}} & \text { in } Q \\
p_{\varepsilon}(T, x)=0 & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\alpha p_{\varepsilon}+\frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \Sigma,
\end{array}
$$

where $\chi_{\Omega_{0}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $\Omega_{0}$.
Proposition 7. Assume that $f \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Then system (41) has a unique solution

$$
p_{\varepsilon} \in C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{2}(0, T ; V), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} p_{\varepsilon}}{\mathrm{d} t} \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The results of Proposition 7 are obtained by applying Lions' theorem (see Proposition 3.9 in [7]).

The following step consists of determining the approximating dual system. For this purpose we multiply the first equation of system (38) by $p_{\varepsilon}$, the solution to the approximating dual system, and integrate the result over $Q$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{Q}\left(\frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \Delta p_{\varepsilon}+K^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \nabla p_{\varepsilon}\right) Y_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} p_{\varepsilon}(T, x) Y_{\varepsilon}(T, x) \mathrm{d} x-  \tag{42}\\
& -\int_{\Omega} p_{\varepsilon}(0, x) \omega_{\varepsilon}^{v a r}(x) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Sigma}\left(\alpha \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) p_{\varepsilon}+\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \frac{\partial p_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \nu}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma \mathrm{~d} t=0
\end{align*}
$$

By (42) we get

$$
\int_{Q} Y_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right) \chi_{Q_{0}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{\Omega} p_{\varepsilon}(0, x) \omega_{\varepsilon}^{v a r}(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

The assumption that $\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}, u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)$ is optimal implies $J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \leq J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}\right)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}\right)-J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) & =\int_{Q_{0}}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}-\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}+\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-2 \theta^{o b s}\right) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+ \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \mu\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}-u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}+u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

We divide by $\lambda$ and pass to the limit for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and taking into account (37), we obtain

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{\lambda}\right)-J\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)}{\lambda}=2 \int_{Q_{0}} Y_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{*}-\theta^{o b s}\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t+\int_{\Omega} 2 \mu v_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{*} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Therefore, by (43) we obtain the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon}(s)\left(\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\varepsilon}(x-s) p_{\varepsilon}(0, x) \mathrm{d} x\right) \mathrm{d} s+\mu \int_{\Omega}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*} v_{\varepsilon}\right)(s) \mathrm{d} s \geq 0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

that can be still written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} v_{\varepsilon}(s)\left(F_{\varepsilon}+\mu u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)(s) \mathrm{d} s \geq 0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\varepsilon}(s):=\int_{\Omega} \rho_{\varepsilon}(x-s) p_{\varepsilon}(0, x) \mathrm{d} x$. Thus, $-\left(F_{\varepsilon}+\mu u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right) \in \partial_{I_{U}}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)=N_{\left[0, \theta_{s}\right]}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{*}\right)$, which is the optimality condition.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For solving (1) we used an optimization algorithm based on the gradient projection method for a restricted minimization problem. For a nonempty convex, closed set $U$ and a problem of minimizing the functional $J: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ over $U$, we have problem $(P)$ which can be written as:

Find $u \in U$ such that

$$
J(u) \leq J(v), \quad \forall v \in U .
$$

An existence result is given by the following theorem.
Theorem 8 (see [1]). Let $J$ be weakly differentiable.
(i) If $u^{*} \in U$ is optimal, then for any $\alpha>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{U}\left(u^{*}-\alpha \nabla J\left(u^{*}\right)\right)=u^{*} . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If $J$ is also convex and if there exists $\alpha>0$ such that (46) holds, then $u^{*}$ is a solution to problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$.

We performed the numerical results using Rosen's algorithm (see [1]):
S0. Choose $u_{0} \in U$ and $\alpha>0$;
Set $k=0$;
S1. $\bar{u}_{k}:=P_{U}\left(u_{k}-\alpha \nabla J\left(u_{k}\right)\right)$;
$v_{k}:=\bar{u}_{k}-u_{k}$;
S2. Compute $\lambda_{k} \in(0,1]$ such that
$J\left(u_{k}+\lambda_{k} v_{k}\right)=\min \left\{J\left(u_{k}+\lambda v_{k}\right) ; 0<\lambda \leq 1\right\} ;$
S3. $u_{k+1}:=u_{k}+\lambda_{k} v_{k}$;
S4. The stopping criterion:
If $\left\|u_{k+1}-u_{k}\right\|<\varepsilon$
then stop ( $u_{k+1}$ is the solution)
else $k:=k+1$; go to S 1 .
Numerical results are performed for the 2D case with the domain a square defined by $\Omega=\{(x, y) ; x \in[0,1], y \in[0,1]\}$. $\Gamma$ is the soil boundary and the other data are

$$
f(t, x, y)=0.2 \exp \left(-t^{2}\right), \quad u(x, y)=0.5
$$

Other constants used are $T=1, \mu=1, \theta_{s}=1, \alpha=10^{-8}, \varepsilon_{1}=0.01$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$.

Assuming that the model (1) is already written in a dimensionless form, we shall perform numerical tests for

$$
\beta(\theta)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-\theta}}, & 0 \leq \theta<\theta_{s}-\varepsilon_{1}  \tag{47}\\ \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-\theta_{s}+\varepsilon_{1}}}, & \theta_{s}-\varepsilon_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{s}\end{cases}
$$

(48) $\beta^{*}(\theta)= \begin{cases}1-\sqrt{1-\theta}, & 0 \leq \theta<\theta_{s}-\varepsilon_{1}, \\ \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{1-\theta}}\left(\theta-\theta_{s}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+1-\sqrt{1-\theta_{s}+\varepsilon_{1}}, & \theta_{s}-\varepsilon_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{s} .\end{cases}$

In what concerns $K$ we consider it of the form $K(\theta)=\theta^{2}$.

Systems (1) and (41) were solved with Comsol Multiphysyics 3.5a (see [4]) and Matlab (see [9]) for $\alpha=0.1$ and 1 .

The corresponding values for the solution to problem $\left(P^{\prime}\right)$, the norm of $\nabla J$ and the error at each iteration are presented in Tables 1 to 3.

Table 1
$\theta^{o b s}(t, x, y)=0.5-0.1 y, \alpha=0.1$

| Iteration $k$ | $\min _{\lambda \in(0,1]}\left(J_{\lambda}^{k}\right)$ | $\left\\|\nabla J_{\lambda}^{k+1}-\nabla J_{\lambda}^{k}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.23079 | 39.4934 | 3.9493 |
| 2 | 0.17478 | 31.8712 | 3.1871 |
| 3 | 0.1428 | 25.0697 | 2.507 |
| 4 | 0.12587 | 19.3837 | 1.9384 |
| 5 | 0.11776 | 14.8405 | 1.484 |
| 6 | 0.11451 | 11.3327 | 1.1333 |
| 7 | 0.11385 | 8.7031 | 0.87031 |
| 8 | 0.11446 | 6.7231 | 0.66222 |
| 9 | 0.1156 | 5.2912 | 0.51235 |
| 10 | 0.11687 | 4.2884 | 0.41171 |
| 11 | 0.11786 | 3.5145 | 0.050565 |

Table 2
$\theta^{o b s}(t, x, y)=0.5-0.1 y, \alpha=1$

| Iteration $k$ | $\min _{\lambda \in(0,1]}\left(J_{\lambda}^{k}\right)$ | $\left\\|\nabla J_{\lambda}^{k+1}-\nabla J_{\lambda}^{k}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.085035 | 39.4934 | 21.4498 |
| 2 | 0.12184 | 16.809 | 2.5213 |
| 3 | 0.11044 | 9.4706 | 1.4206 |
| 4 | 0.10837 | 5.9968 | 0.89952 |
| 5 | 0.10935 | 4.3322 | 0.64945 |
| 6 | 0.11138 | 3.4552 | 0.50869 |
| 7 | 0.11358 | 2.8845 | 0.41241 |
| 8 | 0.1156 | 2.4614 | 0.34175 |
| 9 | 0.1175 | 2.1184 | 0.28666 |
| 10 | 0.11923 | 1.8252 | 0.24251 |
| 11 | 0.12074 | 1.5696 | 0.20593 |
| 12 | 0.12206 | 1.3452 | 0.17503 |
| 13 | 0.12321 | 1.1493 | 0.14883 |
| 14 | 0.12421 | 0.979 | 0.1265 |
| 15 | 0.12508 | 0.83188 | 0.10729 |
| 16 | 0.12582 | 0.70573 | 0.090954 |

The smallest error was obtained for the initial guess $\theta^{o b s}(t, x, y)=0.4+$ $0.1 y$ and $\alpha=0.1$ (see Table 3) for a minimum value of the cost functional of 0.10907 , while the method converged in 11 iterations. For a small value of $\alpha$ (i.e., $\alpha=0.1$ ) we got a decrease in the functional cost (see Table 1), meanwhile in the other cases $J$ diminishes for a few steps to increase afterwards. For an $\alpha>5$ we couldn't reach convergence anymore.

Table 3
$\theta^{o b s}(t, x, y)=0.4+0.1 y, \alpha=0.1$

| Iteration $k$ | $\min _{\lambda \in(0,1]}\left(J_{\lambda}^{k}\right)$ | $\left\\|\nabla J_{\lambda}^{k+1}-\nabla J_{\lambda}^{k}\right\\|$ | $\left\\|u^{k+1}-u^{k}\right\\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.21783 | 39.6873 | 3.9687 |
| 2 | 0.16368 | 31.8474 | 3.1847 |
| 3 | 0.13363 | 24.9041 | 2.4904 |
| 4 | 0.11836 | 19.1396 | 1.914 |
| 5 | 0.11148 | 14.5685 | 1.4568 |
| 6 | 0.10914 | 11.0583 | 1.1058 |
| 7 | 0.10907 | 8.4411 | 0.84411 |
| 8 | 0.11008 | 6.4843 | 0.64558 |
| 9 | 0.1115 | 5.0516 | 0.49616 |
| 10 | 0.11293 | 4.0272 | 0.39333 |
| 11 | 0.11414 | 3.3009 | 0.048447 |

In Figure 1 we plotted the graphics of $\theta^{o b s}(x, y)=0.5-0.1 y, \theta$ computed and the control $u$ (corresponding to the data in Table 1).


Fig. 1. 3D plots of $\theta^{o b s}$, the final solution $\theta^{11}$ and the final control $u^{11}$.
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