RELATIVE TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE

M.R. MOLAEI and H. MOLAEI

In this paper a mathematical model for the observer is considered. The notion of topological pressure from the viewpoint of an observer is introduced. As a result an extension of the notion of topological pressure for the non-compact metric spaces with a special kind of semi-dynamics is deduced. The properties of the pressure for the notion of relative topological pressure are studied.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 37A35, 37B99.

 $Key \ words:$ topological pressure, spanning set, separating set, topological entropy, relative entropy.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the direction of the study of chaotic systems, homoclinic points play an essential role. In many cases homoclinic points are members of the noncompact unstable manifolds.

When we restrict the inverse dynamics on the unstable manifold then the notion of topological pressure can be a good candidate in the direction of the study of dynamics.

The notion of topological pressure was introduced by Ruelle [7] and extended by Walters [8] for compact metric spaces, but in the study of homoclinic points we accept with the non-compact metric spaces. So the first problem is:

What does the notion of topological pressure for non-compact metric spaces mean?

The other problem arises from the engineering of the problem, because in the study of a nature's phenomenon we have to use many approximations. One of them is the observer viewpoint. Let us explain the "observer" mathematically. In fact if X is a nonempty set then a one dimensional observer of X, [1, 3, 6] is a fuzzy set λ , as a function from X to the closed interval [0, 1]. So, the second problem is:

How can we add the observer viewpoint in the notion of topological pressure?

To find a solution for these questions we restrict ourself to a metric space (X, d) with a semi-dynamics created by a continuous map $f : X \to X$ with a

MATH. REPORTS 12(62), 1 (2010), 31-36

base K. In fact a compact subset K of X is called a base for f, [4] if for given compact set $C \subseteq X$ there exists a natural number m(C) such that $f^n(K) \supseteq C$ for all $n \ge m(C)$.

Moreover we assume that λ is a one dimensional observer of X.

With these assumptions, we will introduce a mathematical notion containing the answers for the above questions.

2. TOPOLOGICAL PRESSURE FROM AN OBSERVER'S VIEWPOINT

We assume that $g: X \to X$ is a continuous map. If m and n are natural numbers, and ε is a positive real number, then a subset $R_{f,\varepsilon}^{m,n}$ of $f^m(K)$ is called an (m, n, f, ε) spanning set if for given $x \in f^m(K)$ there exists $y \in R^{m,n}_{f,\varepsilon}$ such that $d(g^i(x), g^i(y)) < \varepsilon$ for all $0 \le i \le n - 1$. An (m, n, f, ε) separating set $S_{f,\varepsilon}^{m,n} \subseteq f^m(K)$ is a set such that for all

 $x, y \in S^{m,n}_{f,\varepsilon}$ there is $0 \le i \le n-1$ so that $d(g^i(x), g^i(y)) \ge \varepsilon$.

For each $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the cardinality of $S_{f,\varepsilon}^{m,n}$ is smaller than k. So, there is a real number which is the maximal cardinal number of such $S_{f,\varepsilon}^{m,n}$, and we denote it by $s(m,n,f,\varepsilon)$.

Let $S_{f,\varepsilon}^{m,n}$ be a set such that $|S_{f,\varepsilon}^{m,n}| = s(m,n,f,\varepsilon)$. Then it is an (m,n,f,ε) spanning set. So there exists an (m, n, f, ε) spanning set with a minimal cardinality which is a natural number and we denote its cardinality by $r(m, n, f, \varepsilon)$.

We also have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(s(m, n, f, \varepsilon) \right) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(r(m, n, f, \varepsilon) \right).$$

This common limit is denoted by h(m, f, g) which is also a kind of topological entropy beside other extensions of it [2].

Let $C(f^m(K), R)$ be the Banach algebra of real valued continuous functions on $f^m(K)$ with the supremum norm. For $u \in C(f^m(K), R), n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $x \in f^m(K)$ the summation $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{f^m(K)}^{g^i(x)} \lambda(g^i(x)) u(g^i(x))$ is denoted by $(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)$, where

$$\delta_{f^m(K)}^{g^i(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g^i(x) \in f^m(K), \\ 0 & \text{if } g^i(x) \notin f^m(K). \end{cases}$$

We denote the infimum of the set

$$\left\{\sum_{x\in D} e^{(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)} : D \text{ is an } (m,n,f,\varepsilon) \text{ spanning set}\right\}$$

by $Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,u,\varepsilon)$.

The $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u, \varepsilon)$ is denoted by $Q^{\lambda}(m, f, g, u, \varepsilon)$. We denote the $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} Q^{\lambda}(m, f, g, u, \varepsilon)$ by $P^{\lambda}(m, f, g, K, u)$.

Definition 2.1. If C(X, R) is the set of real valued continuous functions on X, then the mapping $P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,\cdot)$: $C(X,R) \to R \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by $P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u) = \limsup P^{\lambda}(m, f, g, K, u)$ is the relative topological pressure of u up to the semi-dynamics f with the base K and observer λ .

This definition is a natural generalization of the notion of topological pressure [9]. Because when X is a compact metric space then $P^{\chi_X}(I, g, X, u)$ will be the topological pressure of u, where I is the identity map of X, and χ_X is the characteristic function of X.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $u \in C(f^m(K), R)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon'$. Then: (a) $Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u, \varepsilon) \ge Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u, \varepsilon');$ (b) if $S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u$ is a continuous function on $f^m(K)$ then $Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u, \varepsilon) \le$ $\|\mathbf{e}^{S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u}\|r(m,n,f,\varepsilon);$ (c) $Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,0,\varepsilon) = r(m,n,f,\varepsilon).$ *Proof.* (a) Since

$$\left\{\sum_{x\in D} e^{(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)} : D \text{ is an } (m, n, f, \varepsilon) \text{ spanning set}\right\}$$

is a subset of

$$\left\{\sum_{x\in D} e^{(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)} : D \text{ is an } (m,n,f,\varepsilon') \text{ spanning set}\right\}$$

then

$$Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,u,\varepsilon) \geq Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,u,\varepsilon').$$

(b) If D_0 is an (m, n, f, ε) spanning set such that the cardinality of D_0 is equal to $r(m, n, f, \varepsilon)$, then

$$Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,u,\varepsilon) \le \sum_{x \in D_0} e^{(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)} \le \|e^{S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u}\| |D_0|.$$

(c) $Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,0,\varepsilon) = \inf\{|D| : D \text{ is an } (m,n,f,\varepsilon) \text{ spanning set}\} = r(m,n,f,\varepsilon).$

If $f_1: X \to X$ is a continuous map with a base K, and $f_2: X \to X$ is a continuous map conjugate to f_1 , i.e., there is a homeomorphism $\psi: X \to X$ such that $f_2 \circ \psi = \psi \circ f_1$, then the topological conjugacy implies that f_2 is a map with the base $\psi(K)$.

THEOREM 2.2. If $\psi(K) = K$ then

$$P^{\lambda}(f_1, g, K, u) = P^{\lambda}(f_2, g, K, u).$$

Proof. Let $D \subseteq f_1^m(K)$ be a given (m, n, f, ε) spanning set. Since K is a base for f_2 then there exists m_2 such that

$$f_1^m(K) \subseteq f_2^{m_3}(\psi(K)) = f_2^{m_3}(K),$$

for all $m_3 > m_2$. Hence

$$P^{\lambda}(m, f_1, g, K, u) \le P^{\lambda}(m_3, f_2, g, K, u).$$

Therefore,

$$P^{\lambda}(f_1, g, K, u) \le P^{\lambda}(f_2, g, K, u).$$

Now, by replacing f_1 with f_2 we have

$$P^{\lambda}(f_2, g, K, u) \le P^{\lambda}(f_1, g, K, u).$$

Thus

$$P^{\lambda}(f_1, g, K, u) = P^{\lambda}(f_2, g, K, u). \quad \Box$$

3. PROPERTIES OF $P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, \cdot)$ AS A PRESSURE MAP

Now let us mention the properties of the relative topological pressure.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $u, v \in C(X, R)$ and let $c \in R$. Then:

(i) If $u \leq v$ then $P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u) \leq P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, v)$.

(ii) If λ is a non-vanishing function on $f^m(K)$ then $P^{\lambda}(m, f, g, K, \cdot)$ is a real number or it is identically ∞ .

(iii) If $c \ge 0$ then $P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u + c) \le P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u) + c$.

(iv) $P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u+v) \leq P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u) + P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, v).$

(v) $P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,cu) \leq cP^{\lambda}(f,g,K,u)$ if $c \geq 1$, and $P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,cu) \geq cP^{\lambda}(f,g,K,u)$ if $c \leq 1$.

(vi) $|P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,u)| \leq P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,|u|).$

Proof. (i) This follows from the fact that

$$S_{n,m}^{\lambda} u \leq S_{n,m}^{\lambda} v.$$

(ii) If $u_0 = u|_{f^m(K)}$, $a = \inf\{u_0(x)\lambda(x), 0 \mid x \in f^m(K)\}$ and $b = \sup\{u_0(x), 0 \mid x \in f^m(K)\}$ then the inequalities

$$na \le (S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x) \le nb,$$

imply

$$r(m, n, f, \varepsilon) e^{na} \le Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u, \varepsilon) \le s(m, n, f, \varepsilon) e^{nb}$$

So,

$$h(m, f, g) + a \le P^{\lambda}(m, f, g, K, u) \le h(m, f, g) + b.$$

(iii) Since

$$(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}(u+c))(x) \le (S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x) + nc$$

then

$$Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,u+c,\varepsilon) \le Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g,u,\varepsilon) \mathrm{e}^{nc}.$$

Hence

$$P^{\lambda}(m, f, g, K, u+c) \le P^{\lambda}(m, f, g, K, u) + c.$$

Thus

$$P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u+c) \le P^{\lambda}(f, g, K, u) + c.$$

(iv) Since

$$S_{n,m}^{\lambda}(u+v) = S_{n,m}^{\lambda}(u) + S_{n,m}^{\lambda}(v)$$

then

$$Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u+v, \varepsilon) \le Q_{m,n,f}^{\lambda}(g, u, \varepsilon) Q_{m,nf,f}^{\lambda}(g, v, \varepsilon).$$

Thus

$$P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,u+v) \le P^{\lambda}(f,g,K,u) + P(f,g,K,v).$$

(v) This follows from the following inequalities.

If D is a finite subset of X then

$$\sum_{x \in D} e^{c(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)} \le \left(\sum_{x \in D} e^{(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)}\right)^c \quad \text{if } c \ge 1$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in D} e^{c(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)} \ge \left(\sum_{x \in D} e^{(S_{n,m}^{\lambda}u)(x)}\right)^c \quad \text{if } c \le 1.$$

(vi) This is a consequence of parts (i) and (v) and the inequalities $-|u| \leq u \leq |u|. \quad \Box$

4. CONCLUSION

Another approach to the notion of relative topological pressure can be deduced by taking m = n. The consideration of this case can be a topic for further research, and the paper [5] is a suitable reference for this work.

Acknowledgements. This research has been supported financially by a research grant of Islamic Azad University, Branch of Bardsir.

REFERENCES

- M.R. Hoseini Anvari and M.R. Molaei, On the observational model of genomics. Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai, Biologica, LI, 2 (2006), 53–72.
- [2] L. Liu, Y. Wang and G. Wei, Topological entropy of continuous functions on topological spaces. To appear in Chaos Solitons Fractals, doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2007.04.008.
- [3] Sh. Salili and M.R. Molaei, A new approach to Fuzzy topological spaces. Hadronic J. 25 (2000), 81–90.
- [4] M. Malziri and M.R. Molaei, An extension of the notion of topological entropy. Chaos Solitons Fractals 36 (2008), 2, 370–373.
- [5] H. Molaei and M.R. Molaei, Dynamically defined topological entropy. J. Dyn. Syst. Geom. Theor. 6 (2008), 1, 95–100.
- M.R. Molaei, *Relative semi-dynamical systems*. Internat. J. of Uncertain. Fuzziness Knowledge-Based Systems, Volume 12-2 (2004), 237–243.
- [7] D. Ruelle, Statistical mechanics on compact set with Z^{ν} action satisfying expansiveness and specification. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **185** (1973), 237–251.
- [8] P. Walters, A variational principle for the pressure of continuous transformations. Amer. J. Math. 17 (1976), 937–971.
- [9] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1982.

Received 30 November 2008

Azad University, Branch of Bardsir Department of Mathematics Bardsir, Iran

> University of Kerman Department of Mathematics Kerman, Iran mrmolaei@mail.uk.ac.ir

> > and

Azad University, Branch of Bafq Department of Computer Engineering Bafq, Iran hadimolaei@yahoo.com