# DIRICHLET PROBLEM WITH $p(x)$-LAPLACIAN 
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We give several sufficient conditions for the existence of weak solutions for the Dirichlet problem with $p(x)$-laplacian

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u & =f(x, u) & & \text { in } \Omega \\
u & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}, p(x)$ a continuous function defined on $\bar{\Omega}$ with $p(x)>1$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Carathéodory function.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of Dirichlet problems with $p(x)$-laplacian is an interesting topic in recent years. Especially, the special $p(x) \equiv p$ (constant) is the well-known Dirichlet problem with $p$-laplacian. There have been a large numbers of papers on the existence of solutions for $p$-laplacian equations in a bounded domain. For example, Dinca et al. ([1], [2]) proved the existence of weak solutions for the Dirichlet problem with $p$-laplacian using variational and topological methods.

In this paper we consider the Dirichlet problem with $p(x)$-laplacian

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta_{p(x)} u & =f(x, u) & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{P}\\
u=0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is a bounded domain, $p: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function with $p(x)>1$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Carathéodory function which satisfies the growth condition inspired by the case $p(x) \equiv p$ (constant). We give sufficient conditions which allow to use variational and topological methods in the case of $p(x)$-laplacian. The results obtained are generalizations of wellknown results for $p$-laplacian problems.

## 2. THE SPACES $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$

In order to discuss problem ( P ), we need some properties of the space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, which we call generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{p \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \mid p(x)>1 \text { for any } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}, \\
& p_{-}=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x), \quad p_{+}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x), \quad p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$M=\{u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u$ is a measurable real-valued function $\}$,

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{\left.u \in M\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u(x)\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x<\infty\right\} .
$$

Let us introduce in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ the norm

$$
\|u\|_{p}=\inf \left\{\lambda>\left.0\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Then $\left(L^{p(x)}(\Omega),\| \|_{p}\right)$ is a reflexive Banach space, call it a generalized Lebesgue space. On $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ we also consider the function $\varphi_{p}: L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\varphi_{p}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x .
$$

The connection between $\varphi_{p}$ and $\left\|\|_{p}\right.$ is established by the next result.
Proposition 2.1 (Fan and Zhao [3]). a) We have the equivalences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|_{p}<(>,=) 1 \Longleftrightarrow \varphi_{p}(u)<(>,=) 1, \\
& \|u\|_{p}=\alpha \Longleftrightarrow \varphi_{p}(u)=\alpha \text { when } \alpha \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

b) If $\|u\|_{p}>1$, then $\|u\|_{p}^{p_{-}} \leq \varphi_{p}(u) \leq\|u\|_{p}^{p_{+}}$. If $\|u\|_{p}<1$, then $\|u\|_{p}^{p_{+}} \leq$ $\varphi_{p}(u) \leq\|u\|_{p}^{p_{-}}$.
c) $A \subseteq L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is bounded if and only if $\varphi_{p}(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded.
d) For a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in} \subseteq L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and an element $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$;
(2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{p}\left(u_{n}-u\right)=0$;
(3) $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in measure in $\Omega$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{p}\left(u_{n}\right)=\varphi_{p}(u)$;
e) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}=+\infty$ if and only if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{p}\left(u_{n}\right)=+\infty$.

Define the space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ as

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \left\lvert\, \exists \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right. \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq N\right\}
$$

and equipp it with the norm $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}}=\|u\|_{p}+\||\nabla u|\|_{p}$, where $|\nabla u|=$ $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}}$.

Denote by $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and consider the function $p^{\star}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$
p^{\star}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N \\ +\infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 2.2 (Fan and Zhao [3]). a) The spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega), W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.
b) If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x)<p^{\star}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then the imbedding from $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact.
c) There is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{p} \leq C\|\mid \nabla u\|_{p} \quad \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) .
$$

Remark 2.1. By Proposition 2.2 (c), $\||\nabla u|\|_{p}$ and $\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}}$ are equivalents norms in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Hence from now on we will use the space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{1, p}=\||\nabla u|\|_{p}$ for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Remark 2.2. If $q \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x)<p^{\star}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then the imbedding from $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact.

## 3. PROPERTIES OF THE $p(x)$-LAPLACE AND NEMYTSKII OPERATOR

To simplify the notation, we consider the separable and reflexive Banach space $X=W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm $\|u\|_{1, p}=\|\mid \nabla u\|_{p}$.

As in the case $p(x) \equiv p$ (constant), we consider the $p(x)$-laplace operator $-\Delta_{p(x)}: X \rightarrow X^{\star}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle-\Delta_{p(x)} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad u, v \in X .
$$

Proposition 3.1 (Fan and Zhao [4]).
a) $-\Delta_{p(x)}: X \rightarrow X^{\star}$ is a homeomorphism from $X$ into $X^{\star}$.
b) $-\Delta_{p(x)}: X \rightarrow X^{\star}$ is a strictly monotone operator, that is,

$$
\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right) u-\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right) v, u-v\right\rangle>0, \quad u \neq v \in X .
$$

c) $-\Delta_{p(x)}: X \rightarrow X^{\star}$ is a mapping of type ( $\delta_{+}$), i.e., if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{p(x)} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0$, then $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$.

Proposition 3.2. The functional $\Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\Psi(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x
$$

is continuously Fréchet differentiable and $\Psi^{\prime}(u)=-\Delta_{p(x)} u$ for all $u \in X$.
In the last part of this section we recall the basic results on the Nemytskii operator. If $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and $u \in M$, then the function $N_{f} u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\left(N_{f} u\right)(x)=f(x, u(x))$ is measurable in $\Omega$. Thus, the Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines an operator $N_{f}: M \rightarrow M$, which is called the Nemytskii operator.

Proposition 3.3 (Zhao and Fan [7]). Suppose $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and satisfies the growth condition

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq c|t|^{\frac{\alpha(x)}{\beta(x)}}+h(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), c \geq 0$ is constant and $h \in L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)$. Then $N_{f}\left(L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)\right) \subseteq$ $L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)$. Moreover, $N_{f}$ is continuous from $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ and maps bounded set into bounded set.

For a function $\alpha \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we recall that $\beta \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ is its conjugate function if $\frac{1}{\alpha(x)}+\frac{1}{\beta(x)}=1$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.

Concerning the Nemytskii operator, we have
Proposition 3.4. Suppose $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and satisfies the growth condition

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq c|t|^{\alpha(x)-1}+h(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $c \geq 0$ is constant, $\alpha \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), h \in L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\beta \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the conjugate function of $\alpha$. Let $F: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
F(x, t)=\int_{\Omega} f(x, s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Then
(i) $F$ is a Carathéodory function and there exist a constant $c_{1} \geq 0$ and $\sigma \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
|F(x, t)| \leq c_{1}|t|^{\alpha(x)}+\sigma(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(ii) the functional $\Phi: L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Phi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) \mathrm{d} x$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable and $\Phi^{\prime}(u)=N_{f}(u)$ for all $u \in L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$.

Remark 3.1. If in the growth condition we take $\alpha \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\alpha(x)<$ $p^{\star}(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, the imbedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact. Hence the diagram

$$
X \stackrel{I}{\hookrightarrow} L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega) \xrightarrow{N_{f}} L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega) \stackrel{I^{\star}}{\hookrightarrow} X^{\star}
$$

shows that $N_{f}: X \rightarrow X^{\star}$ is strongly continuous on $X$.
Moreover, using the same argument, we can show that the functional $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\Phi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) \mathrm{d} x$ is strongly continuous on $X$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(u)=N_{f}(u)$ for all $u \in X$.

## 4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS BY A VARIATIONAL METHOD

Let the functional $H: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
H(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

The results from Section 3 show that $H$ is a $C^{1}$ functional on $X$ and

$$
H^{\prime}(u)=\left(-\Delta_{p(x)}\right)(u)-N_{f}(u), \quad u \in X
$$

We recall that $u \in X$ is a weak solution for problem (P) if and only if

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) \mathrm{d} x, \quad v \in X
$$

It is now obvious that $u \in X$ is a weak solution for problem (P) if and only if $H^{\prime}(u)=0$. The main tool in searching critical points of $H$ is the "Symmetric Mountain Pass Lemma" (see Willem [6], Theorem 6.5) below.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $X$ is an infinite dimensional real Banach space such that $X=V \oplus W$, where $V$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $X$ and $W$ is a subspace of $X$. Let $H \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ be even and satisfy the $(P S)$ condition and $H(0)=0$. Assume that
(i) there are constants $\varphi, \gamma>0$ such that $H(x) \geq \gamma$ for all $x \in V$ with $\|x\|=\varphi ;$
(ii) for each finite dimensional subspace $Y$ of $X$ there is $R>0$ such that $H(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in Y$ with $\|x\| \geq R$.
Then $H$ has an unbounded sequence of positive critical values.
In this section we shall work under the hypotheses

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{+}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<p_{-}^{\star}=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p^{\star}(x)  \tag{1.1}\\
f(x,-t)=-f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.2}\\
|f(x, t)| \leq c|t|^{\alpha(x)-1}+h(x), \quad x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c \geq 0$ is constant, $\alpha \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\alpha(x)<p^{\star}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $h \in L^{\beta(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\beta \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the conjugate function of $\alpha$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\theta F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| \geq M$, where $M>0$ and $\theta \in\left(p_{+}, p_{-}^{\star}\right)$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{+}<p_{-} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 4.1. We say that the $C^{1}$-functional $H: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the (PS) condition if any sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ for which $\left(H\left(u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and $H^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, has a convergent subsequence.

We have the result below.
Proposition 4.1. Assume (1.4). Then the functional $H: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the (PS) condition.

Proof. Let the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ be such that $\left(H\left(u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and $H^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $H\left(u_{n}\right) \leq d$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote

$$
\Omega_{n}=\left\{x \in \Omega| | u_{n}(x) \mid \geq M\right\}, \quad \Omega_{n}^{\prime}=\Omega \backslash \Omega_{n} .
$$

Without any loss of generality, we can suppose that $M \geq 1$.
If $x \in \Omega_{n}^{\prime}$ then $\left|u_{n}(x)\right|<M$ and, by Proposition 3.4 (i),

$$
F\left(x, u_{n}\right) \leq c_{1}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{\alpha(x)}+\sigma(x) \leq c_{1} M^{\alpha_{+}}+\sigma(x),
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x & \leq \int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}}\left(c_{1} M^{\alpha_{+}}+\sigma(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(c_{1} M^{\alpha_{+}}+\sigma(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x=  \tag{1}\\
& =c_{1} M^{\alpha_{+}} \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)+\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x) \mathrm{d} x=k_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $x \in \Omega_{n}$ then $\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \geq M$ and, by (1.4),

$$
F\left(x, u_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\theta} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x)
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega_{n}} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \geq \frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\Omega_{n}} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x=  \tag{2}\\
=\frac{1}{\theta}\left(\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

By the growth condition (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\left|\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}}\left(c\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{\alpha(x)}+h(x)\left|u_{n}(x)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq \\
& \leq c M^{\alpha_{+}} \operatorname{meas}\left(\Omega_{n}^{\prime}\right)+M \int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} h(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq c M^{\alpha_{+}} \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)+M \int_{\Omega} h(x) \mathrm{d} x=k_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{k_{2}}{\theta} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x \leq d+\int_{\Omega_{n}} F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega_{n}^{\prime}} F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq  \tag{4}\\
\leq d+k_{1}+\int_{\Omega_{n}} F\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (1), (2), (3) and (4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}(x)\right) u_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq k, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=d+k_{1}+\frac{k_{2}}{\theta}$.
On the other hand, because $H^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|H^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \leq 1$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. Consequently, for all $n \geq n_{0}$ we have

$$
\left\langle H^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p}
$$

or

$$
\left|\varphi_{p}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|\right)-\left\langle N_{f} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p},
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\langle N_{f} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle \geq-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p}-\frac{1}{\theta} \varphi_{p}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5) and (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{p_{+}}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right) \varphi_{p}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|\right)-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p} \leq k, \quad n \geq n_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the sets

$$
A=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq n_{0} \text { and }\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p} \leq 1\right\}
$$

and

$$
B=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n \geq n_{0} \text { and }\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p}>1\right\}
$$

It is obvious that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in A} \subseteq X$ is bounded. If $n \in B$, then $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p}>1$ and we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|\right) \geq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, by (7) and (8) we have

$$
\left(\frac{1}{p_{+}}-\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}}-\frac{1}{\theta}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{1, p} \leq k, \quad n \in B
$$

We know that $\theta>p_{-}$and the last inequality shows that the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ is bounded. By the Smuljan theorem, we can extract a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly convergent to some $u \in X$. As $H^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle H^{\prime}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right), u_{n_{k}}-u\right\rangle=0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Nemytskii operator $N_{f}$ is strongly continuous, so that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} N_{f}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)=$ $N_{f}(u)$ in $X^{*}$ and the weak convergence $u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle N_{f} u_{n_{k}}, u_{n_{k}}-u\right\rangle=0 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) and (10) we conclude that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{p(x)} u_{n_{k}}, u_{n_{k}}-u\right\rangle=0
$$

which, together with Proposition 3.1(iii), shows that $u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u$ in $X$.
Proposition 4.2. Suppose that the Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies hypotheses (1.3), (1.4) while the function $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies hypothesis (1.1). If $V$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $X$, then the set $S=$ $\{u \in V \mid H(u)>0\}$ is bounded in $X$.

Proof. We claim (see [1], Theorem 2.6) that there exists $\gamma \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\gamma>0$, such that $F(x, t) \geq \gamma(x)|t|^{\theta}$ for $x \in \Omega,|t| \geq M$.

Consider the sets

$$
\Omega_{1}=\{x \in \Omega| | u(x) \mid<M\}, \quad \Omega_{2}=\Omega \backslash \Omega_{1}
$$

Using an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 4.1, we get a constant $k_{1} \geq 0$ such that $\left|\int_{\Omega_{1}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq k_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (11) } H(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \mathrm{d} x-\left(\int_{\Omega_{1}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega_{2}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x\right) \leq  \tag{11}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{p_{-}} \varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|)-\int_{\Omega_{2}} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x+k_{1} \leq \frac{1}{p_{-}} \varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|)-\int_{\Omega_{2}} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x+k_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega_{2}} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\Omega_{1}} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x \geq  \tag{12}\\
\quad \geq \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x-M^{\theta} \int_{\Omega_{1}} \gamma(x) \mathrm{d} x \geq \\
\quad \geq \int_{\Omega} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x-M^{\theta}\|\gamma\|_{\infty} \operatorname{meas}(\Omega) .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (11) and (12) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u) \leq \frac{1}{p_{-}} \varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|)-\int_{\Omega} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x+k \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=M^{\theta}\|\gamma\|_{\infty} \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)+k_{1}$.
The function $\left\|\|_{\gamma}: x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ defined by

$$
\|u\|_{\gamma}=\left(\int_{\Omega} \gamma(x)|u(x)|^{\theta} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
$$

is a norm on $X$. On the finite dimensional subspace $V$ the norms $\left\|\|_{1, p}\right.$ and $\left\|\|_{\gamma}\right.$ are equivalent, so there is a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, p} \leq \alpha\|u\|_{\gamma}, \quad u \in V \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that $S \cap\left\{u \in V \mid\|u\|_{1, p}<1\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Choose $u \in S \cap\left\{u \in V \mid\|u\|_{1, p} \geq 1\right\}$. Then, by (13) and (14), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
H(u) \leq \frac{1}{p_{-}} \varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|)-\|u\|_{\gamma}^{\theta}+k \leq \\
\leq \frac{1}{p_{-}}\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{+}}-\|u\|_{\gamma}^{\theta}+k \leq \frac{\alpha^{p_{+}}}{p_{-}}\|u\|_{\gamma}^{p_{+}}-\|u\|_{\gamma}^{\theta}+k .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{\alpha^{p_{+}}}{p_{-}}\|u\|_{\gamma}^{p_{+}}-\|u\|_{\gamma}^{\theta}+k>0
$$

for all $u \in S \cap\left\{u \in V \mid\|u\|_{1, p} \geq 1\right\}$. Taking into account that $\theta>p_{+}$, we conclude that the set $S$ is bounded in $X$.

Proposition 4.3. Assume (1.3) and (1.5). Then there exist constants $\rho, \gamma>0$ such that $\left.H\right|_{\|u\|_{1, p}=\rho} \geq \gamma$.

Proof. In the present context we have the inequalities

$$
H(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_{+}} \varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|)-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq a \varphi_{\alpha}(u)+b\|u\|_{1, p}
$$

for any $u \in X$, where $a, b \geq 0$ are two constants depending on the numbers $c, \alpha_{-},\|h\|_{\beta}, \beta_{-}$which appear in (1.3). Clearly,

$$
H(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_{+}} \varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|)-a \varphi_{\alpha}(u)-b\|u\|_{1, p}, \quad u \in X
$$

taking into account that $\varphi_{p}(|\nabla u|) \geq\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}}$for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{1, p}>1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_{+}}\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}}-a \varphi_{\alpha}(u)-b\|u\|_{1, p} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{1, p}>1$.
The imbedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ being compact, there is a constant $k \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\alpha} \leq k\|u\|_{1, p}, \quad u \in X \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\|u\|_{\alpha}>1$ and $\|u\|_{1, p}>1$, then $\varphi_{\alpha}(u) \leq\|u\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{+}}$and from (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_{+}}\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}}-a k^{\alpha_{+}}\|u\|_{1, p}^{\alpha_{+}}-b\|u\|_{1, p} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\|u\|_{\alpha} \leq 1$ and $\|u\|_{1, p}>1$, then $\varphi_{\alpha}(u) \leq\|u\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha_{-}}$and from (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u) \geq \frac{1}{p_{+}}\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}}-a k^{\alpha_{-}}\|u\|_{1, p}^{\alpha_{-}-} b\|u\|_{1, p} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $h_{1}, h_{2}:(1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{p_{+}} t^{p_{-}-a k^{\alpha_{-}} t^{\alpha_{-}}-b t,} \\
& h_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{p_{+}} t^{p_{-}-a k^{\alpha_{+}} t^{\alpha_{+}-b t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

are continuous. Taking into account that $\alpha_{+}<p_{-}$, we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{1}(t)=$ $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h_{1}(t)=+\infty$. Consequently, for any $\gamma>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $h_{1}(t)>\gamma$ and $h_{2}(t)>\gamma$ for all $t \in(1,+\infty)$ with $t>\delta$.

Choosing $\rho=\max \{1, \delta\}+1$, it is obvious from (18) that $H(u)>\gamma$ for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{1, p}=\rho$.

At this stage we are in a position to prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 4.2. Assume that hypotheses (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) are satisfied. Then problem $(P)$ has an unbounded sequence of weak solutions $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ for which $H\left(u_{n}\right) \geq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} H\left(u_{n}\right)=+\infty$.

Proof. The function $f$ being odd in the second argument, the functional $H$ is even. It is obvious that $H(0)=0$.

By Proposition 4.1, $H$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Proposition 4.2 shows that the set $\{u \in Y \mid H(u)>0\}$ is bounded in $X$ whenever $Y$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $X$. Now, it is obvious that there exists $R>0$ such that $H(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in Y$ with $\|u\|_{1, p} \geq R$.

The space $X$ is a reflexive separable infinite dimensional real Banach space. A well-known result from the theory of Banach spaces ensures the existence of two subspaces $V$ and $W$ of $X$ such that $X=V \oplus W$ and $\operatorname{dim} V<\infty$.

By Proposition 4.3, there are constants $\rho, \gamma>0$ such that $\left.H\right|_{\|u\|_{1, p}=\rho} \geq \gamma$. So, $H(u) \geq \gamma$ for all $u \in V$ with $\|u\|_{1, p}=\rho$. Applying Theorem 4.1, we conclude that $H$ has an unbounded sequence of positive critical values. The proof is complete.

## 5. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS BY A TOPOLOGICAL METHOD

In this section we study the existence of weak solutions for problem ( P ) giving up part of hypotheses from Section 4. As we have seen is Section 4, problem (P), under assumptions (1.1)-(1.5), has an unbounded sequence of weak solutions in $X$. We shall see that less hypotheses results in fewer weak solutions. Moreover, we prove that in a special case problem (P) has a unique weak solution in $X$. The main tool in this section in searching solutions for problem ( P ) is a Fredholm-type result for a couple of nonlinear operators (see Dinca [2]).

Theorem 5.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be real Banach spaces and two nonlinear operators $T, S: X \rightarrow Y$ such that
(i) $T$ is bijective and $T^{-1}$ is continuous;
(ii) $S$ is compact.

Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be a real number such that
(iii) $\|(\lambda T-S)(x)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$;
(iv) there is a constant $R>0$ such that

$$
\|(\lambda T-S)(x)\|>0 \text { if }\|x\| \geq R, \quad d_{L S}\left(I-T^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} S\right), B(0, R), 0\right) \neq 0
$$

Then $\lambda T-S$ is surjective from $X$ onto $Y$.
Before stating the main result of this section, we recall that $u \in X$ is a weak solution for problem ( P ) if and only if $-\Delta_{p(x)} u=N_{f} u$ in $X^{*}$.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that the Carathéodory function $f$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). Then problem $(P)$ has at least a weak solution in $X$.

Proof. In order to apply Theorem 5.1 we take $X=W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega), Y=X^{*}$, $T=-\Delta_{p(x)}$ and $S=N_{f}$.

The real Banach space $X$ being reflexive, every strongly continuous operator $U: X \rightarrow Y$ is compact (see [5], Theorem 1.1). Previous considerations show that the operator $S$ is compact.

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.1(i), the operator $T$ is a homeomorphism, so $T$ is bijective and $T^{-1}$ is continuous.

By Proposition 2.1(b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T(u)\| \geq\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}-1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{1, p} \geq 1$. Since

$$
|\langle S(u), v\rangle| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(c|u|^{\alpha(x)-1}|v|+h(x)|v|\right) \mathrm{d} x, \quad u, v \in X,
$$

using Holder inequality and the compact imbedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S(u)\| \leq c_{1}\left\||u(x)|^{\alpha(x)-1}\right\|_{\beta}+c_{2}, \quad u \in X \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2} \geq 0$ are two constants. Moreover, we can choose $q \in\left[\alpha_{-}-1, \alpha_{+}-1\right]$ and $c_{3} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||u(x)|^{\alpha(x)-1}\right\|_{\beta} \leq\|u\|_{\alpha}^{q} \leq c_{3}\|u\|_{1, p}^{q}, \quad u \in X . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19), (20) and (21), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(T-S)(u)\| \geq\|T(u)\|-\|S(u)\| \geq\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}-1}-c_{1} c_{3}\|u\|_{1, p}^{\alpha_{+}-1}-c_{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{1, p} \geq 1$. But

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(t^{p_{-}-1}-c_{1} c_{3} t^{\alpha_{+}-1}-c_{2}\right)=+\infty
$$

and from (22) we conclude that $\|(T-S)(u)\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $\|u\|_{1, p} \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, there exists $r_{1}>1$ such that $\|(T-S)(u)\|>1$ for all $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{1, p}>r_{1}$.

Denote

$$
A=\left\{u \in X \mid u=t T^{-1}(S(u)) \text { for some } t \in[0,1]\right\}
$$

and let us prove that $A$ is bounded in $X$. For $u \in A \backslash\{0\}$, i.e., $u=t T^{-1}(S(u))$ with some $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T\left(\frac{u}{t}\right)\right\|=\|S(u)\| \leq c_{1} c_{3}\|u\|_{1, p}^{q}+c_{2} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two constants $a, b>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{+}-1} \leq a\|u\|_{1, p}^{\alpha_{-}-1}+b \quad \text { if }\|u\|_{1, p} \in(0, t), \\
\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{-}-1} \leq a\|u\|_{1, p}^{\alpha_{-}-1}+b \quad \text { if }\|u\|_{1, p} \in[t, 1], \\
\|u\|_{1, p}^{p_{1, p}} \leq a\|u\|_{1, p}^{\alpha_{+}-1}+b \quad \text { if }\|u\|_{1, p} \in(1,+\infty) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $h_{1}, h_{2}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{3}:(1,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $h_{1}(t)=t^{p_{+}-1}-a t^{\alpha_{-}-1}-b, h_{2}(t)=t^{p_{-}-1}-a t^{\alpha_{-}-1}-b, h_{3}(t)=t^{p_{-}-1}-a t^{\alpha_{+}-1}-b$.
The sets $\left\{t \in[0,1] \mid h_{1}(t) \leq 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R},\left\{t \in[0,1] \mid h_{2}(t) \leq 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\left\{t \in(1,+\infty) \mid h_{3}(t) \leq 0\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}$.

It follows from (23) and previous inequalities that $A$ is bounded in $X$. Then there exists $r_{2}>0$ such that $A \subseteq B\left(0, r_{2}\right)$. Choose $R=\max \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and consider the homotopy of compact transforms $H_{1}:[0,1] \times \bar{B}(0, R) \rightarrow X$ defined by $H_{1}(t, u)=t T^{-1}(S(u))$. It is obvious from the choice of $R$ that, $H_{1}(t, u) \neq u$ for any $u \in \partial \bar{B}(0, R)$. Consequently,

$$
d_{L S}\left(I-H_{1}(0, \cdot), B(0, R), 0\right)=d_{L S}\left(I-H_{1}(1, \cdot), B(0, R), 0\right),
$$

that is,

$$
d_{L S}\left(I-T^{-1}(S), B(0, R), 0\right)=d_{L S}(I, B(0, R), 0)=1 \neq 0 .
$$

The couple of nonlinear operators $(T, S)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 for $\lambda=1$. We conclude that $T-S: X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective. Then there exists $u \in X$ such that $T(u)=S(u)$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary 5.1. Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2, and assume also that the function $f_{x}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f_{x}(t)=f(x, t)$ is decreasing a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then problem ( $P$ ) has a unique weak solution in $X$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $T-S: X \rightarrow Y$ is injective. Let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in X$ such that $(T-S)\left(u_{1}\right)=(T-S)\left(u_{2}\right)$. We have

$$
\left\langle T\left(u_{1}\right)-T\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle S\left(u_{1}\right)-S\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle
$$

and, since $T$ is strictly monotone,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle S\left(u_{1}\right)-S\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a.e. $x \in \Omega$ we have $\left(f\left(x, u_{1}(x)\right)-f\left(x, u_{2}(x)\right)\right)\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{2}(x)\right) \leq 0$. From (24) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle S\left(u_{1}\right)-S\left(u_{2}\right), u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.1(ii), (24) and (25) show that $u_{1}=u_{2}$.
The operator $T-S: X \rightarrow Y$ is bijective. Consequently, there exists a unique $u \in X$ such that $T(u)=S(u)$.
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